NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

System Design and Verification of the Precession Electron Diffraction Technique

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE SCHOOL IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Field of Materials Science and Engineering

By

Christopher Su-Yan Own

EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

First published on the WWW 01, August 2005 Build 05.12.07. PDF available for download at: http://www.numis.northwestern.edu/Research/Current/precession.shtml

© Copyright by Christopher Su-Yan Own 2005 All Rights Reserved

ABSTRACT

System Design and Verification of the Precession Electron Diffraction Technique

Christopher Su-Yan Own

Bulk structural crystallography is generally a two-part process wherein a rough starting structure model is first derived, then later refined to give an accurate model of the structure. The critical step is the determination of the initial model. As materials problems decrease in length scale, the electron microscope has proven to be a versatile and effective tool for studying many problems. However, study of complex bulk structures by electron diffraction has been hindered by the problem of dynamical diffraction. This phenomenon makes bulk electron diffraction very sensitive to specimen thickness, and expensive equipment such as aberration-corrected scanning transmission microscopes or elaborate methodology such as high resolution imaging combined with diffraction and simulation are often required to generate good starting structures.

The precession electron diffraction technique (PED), which has the ability to significantly reduce dynamical effects in diffraction patterns, has shown promise as being a "philosopher's stone" for bulk electron diffraction. However, a comprehensive understanding of its abilities and limitations is necessary before it can be put into widespread use as a standalone technique. This thesis aims to bridge the gaps in understanding and utilizing precession so that practical application might be realized.

Two new PED systems have been built, and optimal operating parameters have been elucidated. The role of lens aberrations is described in detail, and an alignment procedure is given that shows how to circumvent aberration in order to obtain high-quality patterns. Multislice simulation is used for investigating the errors inherent in precession, and is also used as a reference for comparison to simple models and to experimental PED data. General trends over a large sampling of parameter space are determined. In particular, we show that the primary reflection intensity errors occur near the transmitted beam and decay with increasing angle and decreasing specimen thickness. These errors, occurring at the lowest spatial frequencies, fortuitously coincide with reflections for which phases are easiest to determine via imaging methods. A general two-beam dynamical model based upon an existing approximate model is found to be fairly accurate across most experimental conditions, particularly where it is needed for providing a correction to distorted data. Finally, the practical structure solution procedure using PED is demonstrated for several model material systems.

Of the experiment parameters investigated, the cone semi-angle is found to be the most important (it should be as large as possible), followed closely by specimen thickness (thinner is better). Assuming

good structure projection characteristics in the specimen, the thickness tractable by PED is extended to 40-50 nm without correction, demonstrated for complex oxides. With a forward calculation based upon the two-beam dynamical model (using known structure factors), usable specimen thickness can be extended past 150 nm. For *a priori* correction, using the squared amplitudes approximates the two-beam model for most thicknesses if the scattering from the structure adheres to psuedo-kinematical behavior. Practically, crystals up to 60 nm in thickness can now be processed by the precession methods developed in this thesis.

To my wife Lindsey, for enriching my life throughout my years at Northwestern and for many more to come. *You have our gratitude*.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my thesis adviser, Prof. L.D. Marks for the opportunity to work with him and for his guidance throughout my training. I am also indebted to Dr. Wharton Sinkler for his mentorship and for his willingness to work with me and share his resources throughout the duration of this work. He has acted quite like a second adviser and I thank him for his many suggestions, excellent feedback, and for his understanding.

Many other people have made this work possible. Thanks go to the members of the Marks research group, especially Arun Subramanian, who has always made himself available as a friend and teacher; my father, Dr. Shi-Hau Own for his continual encouragement; and Vasant Ramasubramanian, who taught me some fundamental electronics that I have found useful in many areas of my life. Winfried Hill of Rochester Polytechnic deserves special thanks for analysis of the electronics and circuit redesign suggestions for the first precession instrument I built. Wharton Sinkler from UOP LLC provided the GITO and MOR specimens and did considerable preliminary work with MOR that supported the results in section 5.4. Jim Ciston, from our group, contributed the background work on Andalusite in section 5.3. I also thank JEOL and Hitachi technical support, especially Ken Eberly and Jim Poulous at Hitachi, for constructive discussions, and Hitachi High Technologies for permission to publish microscope schematics included in this dissertation.

Funding for this project was provided by UOP LLC, STCS, the US Department of Energy (Grant no. DE-FG02-03ER 15457), and the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation. I am deeply indebted to the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation for funding my graduate studies; without the Foundation's singular vision and immense generosity, this work would not have been possible.

Contents

ABSTRACT	iii
Acknowledgements	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	х
Chapter 1. Introduction and Methods	1
1.1. The Electron Microscope in Crystallography	2
1.2. Direct Methods	3
1.3. The Problem of Multiple Scattering	11
1.4. Approaches for Pseudo-Kinematical Electron Diffraction	17
Chapter 2. Precession Instrumentation	27
2.1. Precession Geometry	27
2.2. Retrofit Requirements	34
2.3. Aberration Analysis	35
2.4. Review of Previous Instruments	41
2.5. Design Approach	42
Chapter 3. The GITO Model System	52
3.1. Rapid <i>a priori</i> Solution of a Metal Oxide	53
3.2. Precession Simulation	63
3.3. <i>R</i> -factor analysis	69
3.4. Summary	71
Chapter 4. Lorentz Correction Factors	74
4.1. Derivation of Correction Factors	75
4.2. Comparison between models	82
4.3. Discussion: Approach for Solving Novel Structures	96
Chapter 5. Precession Examples	100
5.1. $(Ga,In)_2SnO_4$	100
5.2. $La_4Cu_3MoO_{12}$	102
5.3. Al_2SiO_5	108

5.4. Mordenite	109
5.5. Conclusion	114
Chapter 6. Conclusions and Future Work	115
6.1. Future Work	117
References	119
Appendices	123
Appendix A. Electronics Background	124
A.1. Limited-bandwidth systems	124
A.2. Amplifier design	126
A.3. Simple Linear Power Supply Design	130
Appendix B. Implementation 1	133
B.1. Hitachi H-9000 retrofit	133
B.2. Performance and limitations	136
Appendix C. Implementation 2	140
C.1. JEOL 2000FX Retrofit	140
C.2. Performance and limitations	143
Appendix D. Implementation 3	146
D.1. JEOL 2100F	146
Appendix E. Alignment Procedure	150
Appendix F. Intensity Measurement	154
Appendix G. $(Ga,In)_2SnO_4$ Dataset	158

List of Tables

3.1	GITO atom positions from HREM, neutron diffraction (refined), and unrefined positions from precession. Atom positions from PED match very closely with the neutron-refined	
	positions.	62
3.2	Terminology for thickness ranges.	65
4.1	Correction factors for correcting PED intensities. Note $C_{Blackman}$ has corrected integrand. The pre-factor $\frac{1}{\xi_g^2}$ in corrections 1-2 can be approximated by F_g^2 in the case of swift	0.4
	electrons.	84
4.2	R_1 for the structure factors with noise added using equation 4.18.	94
4.3	R_1 for C_{2beam} -corrected intensities using noisy structure factors. Table values in percent.	96
G.1	Kinematical amplitudes and experimental amplitudes for the GITO precession experiment (normalized to strongest reflection). See figure 3.2 for experimental errors. Reflections excluded in direct methods are starred (*).	158

List of Figures

1.1	Demonstration of amplitude and phase errors in perbromo-phthalocyanine.	5
1.2	Argand diagram illustrating Sayre's triplet relationship (equation 1.9).	7
1.3	Graphical representation of iterative projection onto sets described by equation 1.10.	8
1.4	Three possible outcomes of the solution search between sets S_1 and S_2 using iterative projection.	9
1.5	Flowchart of the feasible sets '98 (fs98) direct methods algorithm.	10
1.6	Rediffraction of beams that meet the Bragg condition.	12
1.7	Probability histograms of the product $F_{g}F_{-g}$ and $F_{g}F_{h}F_{-g-h}$ for centrosymmetric and noncentrosymmetric (random) models of $C_{32}Cl_{16}CuN_8$ crystal.	16
1.8	Normalized dynamical moduli of F_{g} plotted against F_{-g} for the noncentrosymmetric structure in figure 1.7(b) and (d).	17
1.9	Plot of the $J_0(2A)$ and its integral.	19
1.10	The schematic diagram of precession electron diffraction (PED).	21
1.11	Comparison of precessed and conventional DPs of magnesium orthovanadate.	22
2.1	Reciprocal space geometry of P.E.D.	30
2.2	Demonstration of integration of tilted DPs to form a precession patterns, and comparison with a conventional DP.	31
2.3	Precession geometry schematics showing the relationship between ZOLZ and FOLZ excitations and usable diffraction radius γ .	33
2.4	Precession geometry in a modern condenser-objective TEM with double deflection coil system showing the path of the precessed transmitted beam.	36
2.5	Star of merced, formed by the unoptimized precession probe prior to full alignment.	37
2.6	The origin of probe deviation in real space during precession.	39
2.7	Primary aberrations that require compensation in conventional TEMs.	39
2.8	An aberration function containing a mixture of C_{10} , C_{12a} , C_{30} , and C_{45a} yielding flat phase	4.1
2.0	at a mgn tilt angle.	41
2.9	Overview diagram of the precession system.	45
2.10	Generic amplifier for driving an electromagnetic coil.	43

2.11	Crossover distortion in push-pull amplifiers and its effect on precession patterns.	45
2.12	Mixer-buffer circuit used to add precession capabilities to a deflector amplifier.	46
2.13	Precession software interface.	49
2.14	Precession patterns for 60 mrad cone semiangle (a) and 40 mrad cone semiangle (b).	50
3.1	Structure of $(Ga,In)_2SnO_4$ (GITO).	52
3.2	Friedel errors in the precession pattern (amplitudes).	54
3.3	Comparison of kinematical amplitude and experimental PED intensity patterns for GITO.	56
3.4	Experimental precession amplitudes and dynamical amplitudes plotted against kinematical amplitudes calculated from the known GITO structure.	57
3.5	DM solutions generated from precession amplitudes.	58
3.6	DM solution of GITO from precession intensities (all reflections included).	61
3.7	Precession amplitudes (normalized) plotted against amplitudes calculated by precession multislice.	64
3.8	Montage of amplitude reference plots for GITO.	66
3.9	3-D surface plots of absolute amplitude error $(F_{g}^{exp} - F_{g}^{kin})$ against $ \mathbf{g} $ and thickness.	68
3.10	R_1 for the GITO experimental datasets.	71
3.11	3-D surface plots of absolute amplitude error $(F_{\mathbf{g}}^{exp} - F_{\mathbf{g}}^{kin})$ against $ \mathbf{g} $ for experimental conditions.	72
4.1	Reciprocal space geometry in (a) $x - y$ plane and (b) $x - z$ plane.	77
4.2	Intensity collected (I_g) and excitation error (s_g) during the integration in the kinematical model, plotted against azimuthal angle for a low-index reflection.	79
4.3	Scattered intensity (I_g) v. excitation error (s_g) in the two-beam model.	80
4.4	Equation 4.17 plotted for the three strongest reflections in GITO.	82
4.5	The squared sinc function (a) and the integral of the sinc function (b) plotted against excitation error for a crystal thickness of 500 Å.	85
4.6	Integration limits and scattered intensity for a reflection g located at $x = R_0$.	86
4.7	The kinematical correction factor C_{kin} for crystal thicknesses between 100 Åand 600Å, for $\phi = 24$ mrad.	87
4.8	Comparison of the full correction factors C_{2beam} and $C_{Blackman}$ from table 4.1 plotted against g.	89
4.9	Tableau of correction factor plots for the GITO system calculated for various cone semi-angles and specimen thickness.	90
4.10	Multislice amplitudes with correction factor C_{kin} applied.	91
4.11	Plots of corrected amplitude v. kinematical amplitudes.	93

4.12	Detail plot of simulated intensities for $t = 1268$ Å corrected using C_{2beam} .	94
4.13	Multislice datasets corrected with C_{2beam} using structure factors with 40% noise added.	95
4.14	Flowchart for generating a starting structure model from a PED data set.	99
5.1	C_{2beam} correction factors, C_{2beam} -corrected experimental amplitudes, and experimental intensities v. true structure factor for the GITO system.	101
5.2	Direct methods potential map generated from C_{2beam} -corrected amplitudes.	102
5.3	001 projection of the $La_4Cu_3MoO_{12}$ structure model.	103
5.4	Experimental conditions for the $La_4Cu_3MoO_{12}$ precession diffraction experiment.	104
5.5	La ₄ Cu ₃ MoO ₁₂ intensity diffraction patterns.	106
5.6	Direct methods solutions from PED on $La_4Cu_3MoO_{12}$.	107
5.7	Amplitude diffraction patterns from $(Al_2SiO_5.$	109
5.8	Potential maps from direct methods on Andalusite.	110
5.9	Kinematical and precession diffraction pattern from Mordenite.	112
5.10	Structure map from direct methods on Mordenite using kinematical amplitudes to 1 $Å^{-1}$ with no additional phases.	113
5.11	Direct methods potential map of Mordenite generated using high-pass filtered intensities.	113
A.1	Frequency response of an inductive coil system.	125
A.2	Frequency response of a coil system with feedback.	126
A.3	The ideal op-amp.	127
A.4	Basic operational amplifier circuit configurations.	128
A.5	Voltage divider.	129
A.6	Full-wave 48V LC unregulated supply and characteristics.	131
B.1	BD STB circuit, Hitachi H-9000.	134
B.2	Image S. circuit, Hitachi H-9000.	135
B.3	Revised coil driver circuit, based around the OPA544T power operational amplifier.	137
B.4	The hardware for the first-generation precession instrument installed on the Hitachi UHV H-9000.	138
C.1	The hardware for the second-generation precession instrument.	141
C.2	DEF UNIT block diagram for the JEOL 2000FX.	142
C.3	Schematics for the second generation precession system.	143
C.4	Montage of probe images during a precession revolution (close to aligned). Comparison with a well-aligned precessed probe.	144

D.1	Power supply schematics for the new PED system.	147
D.2	BT signal board schematics for the new PED system.	148
D.3	DS signal board schematics for the new PED system.	149
E.1	Alignment procedure for the PED systems described in appendices B-C.	151
E.2	Reciprocal space alignment on the JEOL 3000F systems described in appendix C.	152
F.1	Demonstration of the background subtraction technique for measuring peak intensity.	154
F.2	Measurement of an irregular peak shape.	156
F.3	Comparison of Semper and EDM intensity measurements.	157

References

- Avilov, A.S., A.K. Kuligin, U. Pietsch, J.C.H. Spence, V.G. Tsirelson, and J.M. Zuo. 1999. Scanning system for high-energy electron diffractometry. J. Appl. Cryst. 32:1033–1038.
- Bagdik'ianc, G.O., and A.G. Alexeev. 1959. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 23:773–779.
- Batson, P.E., N. Dellby, and O.L. Krivanek. 2002. Sub-ångstroøm resolution using aberration corrected electron optics. *Nature* 418:617–620.
- Berg, B.S., V. Hansen, P.A. Midgley, and J. Gjønnes. 1998. Measurement of three-dimensional intensity data in electron diffraction by the precession technique. *Ultramic*. 74:147–157.
- Bethe, H.A. 1928. Theorie der beugung von elektronen an kristallen. Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 87:55–129.
- Blackman, M. 1939. On the intensities of electron diffraction rings. Proc. Roy. Soc. 173:68–82.
- Cheng, Y.F., W. Nuchter, J. Mayer, A. Weickenmeier, and J. Gjønnes. 1996. Low-order structure-factor amplitude and sign determination of an unknown structure al_m fe by quantitative convergent-beam electron diffraction. *Acta Cryst. A* 52:923–36.
- Chukhovskii, F.N., J.J. Hu, and L.D. Marks. 2001. Statistical dynamical direct methods ii: The threephase structure invariant. *Acta Cryst. A* 57:231–239.
- Cochran, W. 1955. Relations between the phases of structure factors. Acta Cryst. 8:473–478.
- Combettes, P.L. 1996. Method of successive projections for finding a common point of sets in metric spaces. Adv. Imag. Elec. Phys. 95:155–261.
- Cowley, J.M., and A.F. Moodie. 1957. The scattering of electrons by atoms and crystals. i. a new theoretical approach. *Acta Cryst.* 10:609–619.
- Deng, B., and L.D. Marks. 2005 in preparation.
- Dorset, D.L. 1995. Structural electron crystallography. New York:Plenum Publishing Co.
- Dorset, D.L., S. Hovmoller, and X. Zou, eds. 1997. *Electron crystallography*. Dordrecht:Kluwer Academic.
- Edwards, D.D., T.O. Mason, W. Sinkler, L.D. Marks, K.R. Poeppelmeier, Z. Hu, and J.D. Jorgensen. 2000. Tunneled intergrowth structures in the ga₂0₃-in₂o₃-sno₂ system. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 150:294–304.
- Egerton, R.F. 1989. *Electron*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Franco, S. 2002. *Design with operational amplifiers and analog integrated circuits*. New York:McGraw-Hill.
- Gemmi, M. 2001. Precession technique. In *Electron crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy* on inorganic materials and organic and biological molecules, ed. J. Puiggali, A. Rodriquez-Galan, L. Franco, and M.T. Casas, L91–L97. Barcelona:Universitat Politecnica De Catalunya.

- Gemmi, M., X.D. Zou, S. Hovmoller, A. Migliori, M. Vennstrom, and Y. Andersson. 2003. Structure of ti₂p solved by three-dimensional electron diffraction data collected with the precession technique and high-resolution electron microscopy. *Acta Cryst. A* 59:117–126.
- Gjønnes, J., V. Hansen, B.S. Berg, P. Runde, Y.F. Cheng, K. Gjnnes, D.L. Dorset, and C.J. Gilmore. 1998a. Structure model for the phase al_mfe derived from three-dimensional electron diffraction intensity data collected by a precession technique. comparison with convergent-beam diffraction. Acta Cryst. A 54:306–319.
- Gjønnes, J., and A.F. Moodie. 1965. Extinction conditions in dynamic theory of electron diffraction. *Acta Cryst.* 19:65–&.
- Gjønnes, K. 1997. On the integration of electron diffraction intensities in the vincent-midgley precession technique. *Ultramicroscopy* 69:1–11.
- Gjønnes, K., Y.F. Cheng, B.S. Berg, and V. Hansen. 1998b. Corrections for multiple scattering in integrated electron diffraction intensities. application to determination of structure factors in the 001 projection of al_mfe. Acta Cryst. A 54:102–119.
- Griend, D.A. Vander, S. Boudin, V. Caignaert, K.R. Poeppelmeier, Y.G. Wang, V.P. Dravid, M. Azuma, M. Takano, Z.B. Hu, and J.D. Jorgensen. 1999. La₄cu₃moo₁₂: A novel cuprate with unusual magnetism. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121:4787.
- Haider, M., S. Uhlemann, and B. Kabius. 1999. Towards sub-ångstrøm resolution by correction of spherical aberration. *Scanning* 21:89–90.
- Haider, M., S. Uhlemann, E. Schwan, H. Rose, B. Kabius, and K. Urban. 1998. Electron microscopy image enhanced. *Nature* 392:768–769.
- Harker, D., and J.S. Kasper. 1948. Phases of fourier coefficients directly from cyrstal diffraction data. *Acta Cryst.* 1:70–75.
- Hauptman, H.A. 1991. The phase problem of x-ray crystallography. Rep. Prog. Phys. 54:1427–1454.
- Hirsch, P.B., A. Howie, R.B. Nicholson, and D.W. Pashley, eds. 1965. *Electron microscopy of thin crystals*. London:Spottiswoode, Ballantyne, & Co. Ltd.
- Horowitz, P., and W. Hill. 1989. The art of electronics. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hu, J.J., F.N. Chukhovskii, and L.D. Marks. 2000. Statistical dynamical direct methods. i. the effective kinematical approximation. Acta Cryst. A 56:458–469.
- Hwang, J.H., D.D. Edwards, D.R. Kammler, and T.O. Mason. 2000. Point defects and electrical properties of sn-doped in-based transparent conducting oxides. *Solid State Ionics* 129:135–144.
- Jansen, J., D. Tang, H.W. Zandbergen, and H. Schenk. 1998. Msls and a least-squares procedure for accurate crystal structure refinement from dynamical electron diffraction patterns. *Acta Cryst. A* 54: 91–101.
- Khattak, C.P., D.E. Cox, and F.F.Y. Wang. 1975. Magnetic ordering in ba₂mnreo₆. J. Solid State Chem. 13:77–83.
- Kilaas, R., L.D. Marks, and C.S. Own. 2005. Edm 1.0: Electron direct methods. Ultramic. 102:233–237.
- Krivanek, O.L., N. Dellby, and A.R. Lupini. 1999. Towards sub-å electron beams. Ultramic. 78:1–11.
- Krivanek, O.L., and P.A. Stadelmann. 1995. Effect of three-fold astigmatism on high resolution electron micrographs. *Ultramic*. 60:103.

- Landree, E., C. Callazo-Davila, and L.D. Marks. 1997. Multi-solution genetic algorithm approach to surface structure determination using direct methods. *Acta Cryst. B* 53:916–922.
- Levi, A., and H. Stark. 1984. Image restoration by the method of generalized projections with application to restoration form magnitude. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* 1:932–943.
- Mancini, R. 2002. Op amps for everyone. Dallas: Texas Instruments, Inc.
- Marks, L.D., E. Bengu, C. Callazo-Davila, D. Grozea, E. Landree, C. Leslie, and W. Sinkler. 1998. Direct methods for surfaces. *Surf. Rev. Let.* 5:1087–1106.
- Marks, L.D., and W. Sinkler. 2003. Sufficient conditions for direct methods with swift electrons. *Micros. and Microanal*. 9:399–410.
- Midgley, P.A., M.E. Sleight, M. Saunders, and R. Vincent. 1998. Measurement of debye-waller factors by electron precession. *Ultramic*. 75:61–67.
- Nellist, P.D., M.F. Chisholm, N. Dellby, O.L. Krivanek, M.F. Murfitt, Z.S. Szilagyi, A.R. Lupini, A. Borisevich, W.H. Sides, and S.J. Pennycook. 2004. Direct sub-ångstroøm imaging of a crystal lattice. *Science* 205:1741–1741.
- Newsam, J.M. 1988. Synthesis and structural characterization of a lithium gallosilicate with the zeolite abw framework. J. Phys. Chem 92:445–452.
- Nicolopoulos, S., J.M. Gonzalezcalbet, M. Valletregi, A. Corma, C. Corell, J.M. Guil, and J. Perezpariente. 1995. Direct phasing in electron crystallography — *ab-initio* determination of a new mcm-22 zeolite structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 117:8947–8956.
- O'Keefe, M.A., and R. Kilaas. 1988. Advances in high-resolution image simulation in image and signal processing in electron microscopy. In *Scanning microscopy supplement 2*, ed. P.W. Hawkes, F.P. Ottensmeyer, W.O. Saxton, and A. Rosenfeld, 225. AMF O'Hare, IL:SEM, Inc.
- Own, C.S., and L.D. Marks. 2004. Hollow-cone electron diffraction system. US Patent application no: 60/531,641.
- ------. 2005a. Prospects for aberration-corrected precession. *Micros. and Microanal.* submitted.
- ———. 2005b. Rapid structure determination of a metal oxide from pseudo-kinematical electron diffraction data. *Ulramic*. in press.
- Own, C.S., L.D. Marks, and W. Sinkler. 2005a. Electron precession: A guide for implementation. *Rev. Sci. Instr.* 76:Art. no. 033703.
- Own, C.S., W. Sinkler, and L.D. Marks. 2005b in preparation.
- Own, C.S., A.K. Subramanian, and L.D. Marks. 2004. Quantitative analyses of precession diffraction data for large cell oxides. *Micros. and Microanal*. 10:96–104.
- Sayre, D. 1952. The squaring method: a new method for phase determination. Acta Cryst. 5:60-65.
- Scherzer, O. 1936. Uber einige fehler von elektronenlinsen. Zeit. Phys. 101:593.
- Sinkler, W., W. Bengu, and L.D. Marks. 1998a. Application of direct methods to dynamical electron diffraction data solving bulk crystal structures. *Acta Cryst. A* 54:591–605.
- Sinkler, W., and L.D. Marks. 1999a. Dynamical direct methods for everyone. Ultramic. 75:251–268.
- . 1999b. A simple channelling model for hrem contrast transfer under dynamical conditions. *J. Microscopy* 194:112–123.

- Sinkler, W., L.D. Marks, D.D. Edwards, T.O. Mason, K.R. Poeppelmeier, Z. Hu, and J.D. Jorgensen. 1998b. Determination of oxygen atomic positions in a ga-in-sn-o ceramic using direct methods and electron diffraction. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 136:145–149.
- Spence, J.C.H. 2003. High-resolution electron microscopy. Oxford:Oxford Univ. Press.
- Spence, J.C.H, and J.M. Zuo. 1992. Electron microdiffraction. New York:Plenum Press.
- Suzuki, Y., A. Takeuchi, H. Takano, and H. Takenaka. 2005. Performance test of fresnel zone plate with 50 nm outermost zone width in hard x-ray region. *Jap. J. Appl. Phys.* 1 44:1996–2000.
- Vainshtein, B.K. 1964. New York:Pergamon Press.
- Vainshtein, B.K., B.B. Zvyagin, and A.S. Avilov. 1992. Electron diffraction structure analysis. In *Electron diffraction techniques*, vol.2, ed. J.M. Cowley, 216–312. Oxford:Oxford University Press.
- Vincent, R., and D.M. Bird. 1986. Measurement of kinematic intensities from large-angle electrondiffraction patterns. *Phil. Mag. A* 53:L35–L40.
- Vincent, R., D.M. Bird, and J.W. Steeds. 1984. Structure of augeas determined by convergent-beam electron diffraction: 1. derivation of basic structure. *Phil. Mag. A* 50:745–763.
- Vincent, R., and P. Midgley. 1994. Double conical beam-rocking system for measurement of integrated electron-diffraction intensities. *Ultramic*. 53:271–282.
- Weirich, T.E. 2004. The crystal structure of zr₂se reinvestigated by electron crystallography and x-ray powder diffraction. *Cryst. Rep.* 49:379–389.
- Xu, P., G. Jayaram, and L.D. Marks. 1994. Cross-correlation method for intensity measurement of transmission electron diffraction patterns. *Ultramic*. 53:15–18.
- Youla, D.C. 1987. Mathematical theory of image restoration by the method of convex projections. In *Image recovery: theory and applications*, ed. H. Stark, L91–L97. Orlando:Academic press, Inc.
- Yu, B.Y., A. Abramov, V.G. Tsirelson, V.E. Zavodnik, S.A. Ivanov, and I.D. Brown. 1995. The chemical bond and atomic displacements in srtio₃ from x-ray diffraction analysis. *Acta Cryst. B* 51:942–951.
- Zukhlistov, A.P., M.S. Nickolsk, B.B. Zvyagin, A.S. Avilov, A.K. Kulygin, S. Nicolopoulos, and R. Ochs. 2004. Imaging plates a new life for electron diffraction structure analysis. *Zeit. Krist.* 219:2004.